5

8

7

10

11 12

131415

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

25

PREMERA'S PROCEDURAL RESPONSE TO WSMA'S REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY HEARING - 2

K:\34458\00009\TEK\TEK_P237Q

requested documents and until Premera has an opportunity to depose WSMA's President and chief economist, Premera cannot fully respond to the substance of WSMA's motion.

The Special Master should deny WSMA's request for an emergency hearing, set November 7th as the deadline for Premera's Substantive Response on the substantive issues, and schedule a hearing for November 10th on the substantive issues raised in WSMA's motion.

Since WSMA argues that Premera has the burden of proof, Premera should have the last word on the substantive issues. Also, the Seventh Order does not contemplate a reply brief under these circumstances. Hence, the Special Master should not permit any further briefing after Premera's November 7th Substantive Response.

ARGUMENT

- 1. WSMA delayed until October 21st to give notice of its intent to use its President, Dr. Jeff Collins, and its Director of Health Care Economics, Robert Perna, as its experts. WSMA asserts that it is selecting these in-house people as its experts only because it cannot afford to hired any outside experts. There is no evidence, however, to substantiate the claim that an organization as large and as well-financed as the WSMA has no money to hire any experts.
- 2. In compliance with the Protective Order, Premera objected in writing on October 23rd to these individuals seeking Premera's Confidential and Attorneys' Eyes Only documents and information. Attached as Exhibit A to the accompanying Declaration of Thomas E. Kelly, Jr. ("Kelly Declaration") is a copy of Mr. Kelly's October 23rd letter to Mr. Coopersmith.
- 3. WSMA takes the position that Premera has the burden of proof, under the Protective Order, to show that release of the documents and information to Dr. Collins and

Mr. Perna would be "inappropriate." See Paragraph 3(b)(ii)(3) at page 17 of the Protective Order.

- 4. In order to obtain evidence needed to meet that burden of proof, Premera sent WSMA on October 24th a Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B to the Kelly Declaration. The Second Set specifically requests documents relevant to the appropriateness of these two individuals having access to Premera's documents.
- 5. For the same reason, Premera has noted the depositions of the two witnesses, for November 3rd and 4th. The deposition notices are attached as Exhibits C and D to the Kelly Declaration. Premera is willing to change the time and dates to a mutually convenient time in order to accommodate schedules. Also, if WSMA can get its discovery responses back to Premera earlier than Oct. 31st, Premera is willing to accelerate the dates for the depositions.
- 6. If WSMA provides the requested documents and if the depositions are taken as now scheduled, Premera should be able to submit its Substantive Response to WSMA's motion within three days after the second deposition, that is, by the end of business on November 7th.
- 7. Since WSMA argues that Premera has the burden of proof regarding disclosure of documents to Dr. Collins and Mr. Perna, Premera should have the last word on the substantive issues in its Substantive Response and WSMA should not be permitted to file a reply brief.
- 8. In any event, the Seventh Order does not contemplate a reply brief under these circumstances. Paragraph 2, at page 7, of the Seventh Order states, in regard to a dispute over document production, which is what this dispute is:

PREMERA'S PROCEDURAL RESPONSE TO WSMA'S REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY HEARING - 3

K:\34458\00009\TEK\TEK_P237Q

The party seeking production [here, WSMA] shall file with the Special Master its motion and supporting brief to compel production within 7 business days from the date of receipt of the objection. The party objecting to the request [here, Premera] shall have 7 business days to file its response and supporting brief.

In this case, WSMA filed its motion and brief on October 27th. Premera would normally have seven days — until November 5th — to file its response. But, in light of the time needed for (a) WSMA to produce the requested documents, (b) Premera's counsel to read those documents and prepare for the depositions, (c) Premera's counsel to take the two depositions (one of which will presumably be in Spokane), and (d) Premera's counsel to write its Substantive Response after having obtained the written and oral discovery, Premera respectfully requests that it have two extra days, that is, until November 7th, to file its Substantive Response.

Of course, if WSMA decides to serve and file a supplemental brief in addition to its emergency motion, then Premera would be entitled to 7 days from the date of receipt of that supplemental brief to serve and file its Substantive Response.

CONCLUSION

Without having oral argument on the procedural aspects of WSMA's motion, the Special Master should:

- 1. Direct WSMA to produce the documents that Premera has requested by October 31st.
- 2. Confirm that the depositions of Mr. Perna and Dr. Collins will be taken as scheduled in the deposition notices (November 3rd in Seattle for Mr. Perna and November 4th in Spokane for Dr. Collins), unless Premera and WSMA agree to alternative dates.

PREMERA'S PROCEDURAL RESPONSE TO WSMA'S REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY HEARING - 4

K:\34458\00009\TEK\TEK_P237Q

- 3. Direct Premera to file its Substantive Response within 3 days after the second deposition is completed or, if WSMA serves a supplemental brief, within 7 days after the filing of the supplemental brief, whichever is later.
- 4. Schedule oral argument for November 10th on the substantive issues raised in WSMA's motion.

DATED this 28th day of October, 2003.

PRESTON GATES & ELLIS LLP

Thomas E. Kelly, Jr., wsba # 05690

Attorneys for PREMERA and Premera Blue Cross

PREMERA'S PROCEDURAL RESPONSE TO WSMA'S REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY HEARING - 5

K:\34458\00009\TEK\TEK_P237Q